

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

ful

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,664	10/07/2004	Mehmet Nezir Gencer	1920-100US	1822	
25881 7	7590 09/15/2006		EXAMINER		
EPSTEIN DF 60 EAST 42N	RANGEL BAZERMA DISTREET	RODRIGUEZ, JOSEPH C			
SUITE 820	DURKEET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK, NY 10165			3653		

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applic	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/510	,664	GENCER, MEHMET NEZIR				
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
			C. Rodriguez	3653				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	cation appears on	the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSING OF THE MASSING O	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. utory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION  event, however, may a reply be tird  d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on						
- =		b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.					
3)	· <u> </u>							
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>13,16-22 and 24</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>14,15,22 and 23</u> is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict		n requirement.					
	on Papers		·					
	The specification is objected to by the	Eveminer						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·		ur h\	Eveniner				
10)[	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 1/17/06 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	•	•	` '	ED 1 101(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to			*	• •			
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	by the Examiner.	Troto the attached office		70 102.			
				) (-1) - · · (6)				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)⊠ None of:	or toreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(a) or (t).				
a)į	- ·- ·-	laarraanta barra b						
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>							
					Ctooo			
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation</li> </ol>			ed in this National	Stage			
* 5	ee the attached detailed Office action	·		ad				
	os mo attaviros ocianes Omice action	101 4 1131 01 1118 01	oranica copies not receive					
Attachmen 4\ ⊠ Nasia	` '		, <b>-</b>	(570				
1) 🔼 Notic 2) 🗌 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1	ΓO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	. 5 040,	5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:								

Art Unit: 3653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

## Claim Objections

The claims are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1 should read "An apparatus".

The claims are objected to as the form of claim 1 is improper. Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, as in the instant claims, each element or step should be separated by a line indentation. See MPEP 608.01(m) and 37 CFR 1.75(i). Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 24 recites the limitation "said suction means". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/510,664

Art Unit: 3653

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13, 16, 18, 19, 21, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mendenhall (US 4,387,996).

Mendenhall (figure) teaches an apparatus for separating and storing gradations of crushed aggregate, said apparatus comprising

a storage body (30, 32) comprising a plurality of vertically extending storage sections;

means situated above said storage body for receiving crushed aggregate (opening near top of elevator section near 21),

means for separating the received crushed aggregate into different gradations (screening means 31, 33, 35) and

means for directing the gradations of separated crushed aggregate into different ones of said storage sections (conveyor means and walls near 31, 33, 35),

means situated proximate the bottom of said storage body for discharging the crushed aggregate from said storage sections (doors implicit from figure and functioning of device described in col. 4, ln. 2 et seq.),

corridor means and channel means (between bottom of 32 and 36 and 40) situated within said storage body between said storage sections for connecting said storage sections with said discharge means (col. 4, ln. 2 et seq. describing metering of aggregate thus covers and automatic controls means are inherent), said apparatus

Art Unit: 3653

being enclosed so as to prevent the escape of micro granulated particles to the environment (figure), and

means for supporting said body with said discharge means spaced from the ground (ld).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mendenhall in view of what is well known in the art.

Mendenhall as set forth above teaches all that is claimed except for expressly teaching conveyor means extending under said body in alignment with said discharge means and vibrating screen means. These features, however, are well known in the sorting and conveying arts and Examiner takes Official notice of such. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Mendenhall as taught above.

Art Unit: 3653

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14, 15, 22 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any references not explicitly discussed above but made of record are considered relevant to the prosecution of the instant application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Joseph C Rodriguez** whose telephone number is **571-272-6942** (M-F, 9 am – 6 pm, EST).

The **Official** fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

The examiner's UNOFFICIAL Personal fax number is 571-273-6942.

Further, information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov

Application/Control Number: 10/510,664

Art Unit: 3653

Page 6

Should you have questions on access to the Private PMR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (Toll Free).

Alternatively, inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding can also be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **571-272-6584** or to the Supervisory Examiner, Patrick Mackey, **571-272-6916**.

Signed by Examiner Joseph Rodriguez

Jcr

\*\*\*

September 12, 2006